



Annual Governance Statement

2012/13

Contents

1.	Introduction.....	3
2.	Scope of responsibility.....	3
3.	The governance framework of the organisation	3
3.1.	Committee structure and reporting.....	4
3.2.	The Board of Directors.....	4
3.3.	Management Board	6
3.4.	Non-Clinical Governance Committee.....	6
3.5.	Clinical Governance Committee	7
3.7.	Audit Committee	7
3.8.	Remuneration Committee	8
3.9.	Charities Committee	9
3.10.	Annual Committee Effectiveness Reviews.....	9
4.	Key Governance Systems.....	10
5.	Governance Changes during the Year	10
6.	Trust Board Review of Effectiveness.....	10
7.	Trust Board Member Appraisals.....	11
8.	The Purpose of the System of Internal Control.....	12
9.	Capacity to handle risk	12
10.	The Risk and Control Framework.....	13
10.1.	Context	13
10.2.	Assurance Framework.....	13
10.3.	Other Risks to Note.....	14
11.	Significant Issues	14
12.	Quality Governance.....	15
13.	Board to Ward	16
14.	Information Governance	17
15.	Review of Effectiveness	17
16.	Foundation Trust status.....	18

1. Introduction

The NHS Chief Executive, in his capacity as Accounting Officer for the NHS in the Department of Health, requires the Accountable Officer (AO) for the Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust to give him assurance about the stewardship of his organisation.

For the Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust the Accountable Officer is James Scott, Chief Executive.

2. Scope of responsibility

The Board of Directors is accountable for internal control. As Accountable Officer, and Chief Executive of this Board, I have responsibility for maintaining a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of the organisation's policies, aims and objectives. I also have responsibility for safeguarding the public funds and the organisation's assets for which I am personally responsible as set out in the Accountable Officer Memorandum.

The NHS South of England (SHA), commissioning Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) and the Trust have worked closely in 2012/13 and the Trust's performance has been reviewed by the South of England SHA and PCTs on a regular basis.

The Bath and Wiltshire Health Community, which consists of the Trust, NHS Bath and North East Somerset (BaNES) and NHS Wiltshire have continued to develop in 2012/13 to improve relationships across the organisations. The PCTs, Overview and Scrutiny Panel, Local Involvement Networks (LINKs) and other partner organisations have worked closely with the Trust and have agreed the areas of work where focus is required. They have been involved in several aspects of the Trust's activities particularly related to patient experience.

3. The governance framework of the organisation

The Trust has developed its governance structures over a period of time to deliver an integrated governance agenda. Integrated governance is the combination of systems, processes and behaviours which the Trust uses to lead, direct and control its functions in order to achieve its organisational objectives.

The Board of Directors leads on integrated governance and delegates key duties and functions to its six sub-committees. In addition the Board reserves certain decision making powers including decisions on strategy and budgets. The diagram below gives an overview of the integrated governance structure.



The roles and responsibilities of all committees are described more fully below. There are three key committees within the structure that provide assurance to the Board of Directors. These are:

- The Non-Clinical Governance Committee.
- The Clinical Governance Committee.

- The Audit Committee.

There are a range of mechanisms available to these assurance committees to gain assurance that our systems are robust and effective. These include utilising internal and external audit, peer review, management reporting and clinical audit. Where systems and processes cover both clinical and non-clinical areas, for example the process of employing temporary nursing staff, more than one assurance committee will need to assure itself and in turn the Board of Directors that the approach is effective and robust. To do this the Trust has developed a mechanism for cross referring items to seek the other assurance committees' review of relevant systems and processes.

The Board of Directors is accountable for the operations of the Trust. Due to the size and complexity of the operations involved, it delegates responsibility for operational delivery to the Trust's Management Board, which in turn delegates authority to a number of sub groups as appropriate. The expected outcomes, as prescribed by the Board of Directors through the Management Board Terms of Reference, are delivered by the organisation through a series of defined systems and processes

3.1. Committee structure and reporting

Details of the key committees in the Trust's governance structure are given below. Each Committee Chair has information that ensures a consistent approach across all groups, including Terms of Reference, upward reporting and review of effectiveness. Guidelines for the development of agendas and for papers to be presented at the groups are also available. This information has been developed in line with the Productive Leader Toolkit created by the NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement.

3.2. The Board of Directors

The Board of Directors meets monthly to discuss an agenda based on four key areas of:

- Quality – Patient Safety, Effectiveness and Experience
- Operational Performance and Use of Resources
- Corporate Governance/Risk/Regulatory – This gives the Board an opportunity to consider key risks, the Board Assurance Framework, legislative changes which may impact on the function of the Trust, other governance issues and regular reports from its sub committees.
- Strategy/Business Planning and Improvement – This covers strategy decision making, approval of business plans and business cases.

The Board of Directors approves annual work plans and annually reviews the Terms of Reference for each of the sub-committees. The Board of Directors receives regular reports from its sub-committees on the business covered, risks identified and actions taken. These reports are delivered by the Non-Executive Chairs of each of these groups, supported by the Executive Director lead.

The Board approves an Annual Cycle of Business in advance of the financial year which identifies the key reports which will be presented in year. Reporting to the Board is based on the principles of exception reporting to ensure that the Board considers the key issues and utilises its time effectively.

The Board conducts the majority of business in public but where this is not possible due to reasons of confidentiality it excludes members of the public pursuant to the Public Bodies (Admission to Meeting) Act 1960.

To ensure adequate flows of information from the Board of Directors to the Management Board, the Chief Executive provides a verbal update to the Management Board on business transacted at the Board of Directors and other issues of importance.

Membership of the Board of Directors is currently made up of the Trust Chairman, five independent Non-Executive Directors and five Executive Directors, including the Chief Executive, and three non-voting Executive Directors. The key roles and responsibilities of the Board are as follows:

- To set and oversee the strategic direction of the Trust.
- Continued appraisal of the financial and operational performance through Director Reports.
- Direct operational decisions as required.
- To discharge their duties of regulation and control.
- To ensure the Trust continues to maintain patient quality and safety as its primary focus, receiving and reviewing data analysis and comment in the form of the Quality Report.
- To receive reports from the Audit Committee, the annual internal auditor's report and external auditor's report and take action as appropriate.
- To approve the Annual Report and Annual Accounts.

The document which describes how the Trust operates is called the Standing Orders. The Standing Orders are supported by the Standing Financial Instructions and a Scheme of Delegation which shows which decisions the Board has reserved for itself and which it has delegated and to whom it has delegated these.

The Board receives monthly reports on performance which includes an integrated balanced scorecard which shows performance against the identified key performance indicators which contain national, local and internally driven targets. In addition the Board of Directors receives a monthly Quality Report which outlines progress towards delivering the quality agenda but also provides a mechanism for updating the Board of Directors on key quality issues which may require their attention.

A breakdown of attendance for the Trust Board is presented below:

- Chairman – (Attended 12 of 12)
- Non-Executive Director – Moira Brennan (Attended 11 of 12)
- Non-Executive Director – Joanna Hole (Attended 11 of 12)
- Non-Executive Director – Roger Newton (Attended 2 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Michael Earp (Attended 12 of 12)
- Non-Executive Director – Stephen Wheeler (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Nicholas Hood (Attended 5 of 8)
- Non-Executive Director – Nigel Sullivan (Attended 5 of 8)
- Chief Executive (Attended 12 of 12)
- Medical Director (Attended 10 of 12)
- Director of Nursing (Francesca Thompson) (Attended 8 of 9)
- Director of Nursing (Acting) (Mary Lewis) (Attended 3 of 3)
- Chief Operating Officer (Lisa Hunt) (Attended 9 of 9)
- Chief Operating Officer (Francesca Thompson) (Attended 3 of 3)
- Director of Finance. (Attended 10 of 12)
- Director of Estates and Facilities* (Attended 11 of 12)
- Director of Human Resources* (Attended 12 of 12)
- Commercial Director* (Attended 8 of 8)

*Indicates non-voting members of the Trust Board.

The key Board sub-committees are described below. The attendance record for each member is indicated in the brackets.

3.3. Management Board

The Management Board is chaired by the Chief Executive and is held monthly. The membership of the Board is as follows:

- Chief Executive (Chair) (Attended 9 of 12)
- Chief Operating Officer (Lisa Hunt) (Attended 7 of 9)
- Chief Operating Officer (Francesca Thompson) (Attended 3 of 3)
- Commercial Director (Attended 7 of 8)
- Medical Director (Attended 12 of 12)
- Associate Medical Director for Quality Improvement (Attended 8 of 12)
- Director of Nursing (Francesca Thompson) (Attended 6 of 9)
- Director of Nursing (Acting) (Mary Lewis) (Attended 3 of 3)
- Director of Human Resources (Attended 12 of 12)
- Director of Finance (Attended 12 of 12)
- Director of Estates and Facilities (Attended 10 of 12)
- Head of Division - Medicine (Attended 11 of 12)
- Head of Division - Surgery (Attended 10 of 12)
- Divisional Manager - Medicine (Attended 11 of 12)
- Divisional Manager - Surgery (Attended 11 of 12)
- Assistant Directors of Nursing - Medicine (Attended 4 of 9)
- Assistant Directors of Nursing - Medicine (Acting) (Attended 3 of 3)
- Assistant Directors of Nursing - Surgery (Attended 9 of 12)
- Assistant Directors of Nursing - Workforce (Attended 9 of 12)
- Assistant Directors of Nursing - Patient Safety (Attended 8 of 12)
- Director of Research and Development* (Attended 12 of 12)
- Director of Post Graduate Medical Education* (Attended 9 of 12)
- Chief Pharmacist (Attended 9 of 12)
- Head of Business Development (Rhiannon Richards) (Attended 7 of 7)
- Head of Business Development (Jane Rowland) (Attended 4 of 4)
- Chief Information Officer (Attended 7 of 10)
- Programme Management Office Lead (Attended 11 of 12)

*The Director of Post Graduate Medical Education and Director of Research and develop are not required to attend every meeting.

The Management Board has delegated powers from the Board of Directors to oversee the day to day management of an effective system of integrated governance, risk management and internal control across the whole organisation's activities (both clinical and non-clinical), which also supports the achievement of the organisation's objectives.

3.4. Non-Clinical Governance Committee

The Non-Clinical Governance Committee (NCGC) focuses primarily on providing assurance to the Board that all non-clinical risks are appropriately identified, assessed and managed and to ensure that all non-managed risks are entered onto the Trust-wide risk register and reported to the Board where appropriate. The NCGC is chaired by a Non-Executive Director. The Committee meets bi-monthly.

Membership of this Committee includes:

- Non-Executive Director (Chair) – Joanna Hole (Attended 5 of 5)
- Non-Executive Director – Stephen Wheeler (Attended 2 of 2)
- Non-Executive Director – Nigel Sullivan (Attended 1 of 2)
- Director of Human Resources (Lead Executive) (Attended 4 of 5)
- Director of Facilities and Estates (Attended 4 of 5)
- Chief Operating Officer (Lisa Hunt) (Attended 1 of 3)
- Chief Operating Officer (Francesca Thompson) (Attended 1 of 2)
- Commercial Director (Attended 2 of 3)

- Trust Secretary (Attended 5 of 5)
- Director of Operations (Attended 2 of 2)

The primary objective of the Committee is to provide assurance to the Board that the key critical non-clinical systems and processes are effective and robust.

3.5. Clinical Governance Committee

The purpose of the Clinical Governance Committee is to provide assurance to the Board that all clinical risks are identified, assessed and managed and to ensure that all non-managed risks are entered onto the Trust-wide risk register and reported to the Board where appropriate. The Committee meets bi-monthly and is chaired by a Non-Executive. The membership of the Committee is as follows:

- Non-Executive Director – Michael Earp (Chair) (Attended 5 of 5)
- Non-Executive Director – Roger Newton (Attended 1 of 1)
- Non-Executive Director – Nicholas Hood (Attended 2 of 3)
- Director of Nursing (Francesca Thompson) (Lead Executive) (Attended 3 of 3)
- Director of Nursing (Mary Lewis) (Lead Executive) (Attended 2 of 2)
- Medical Director (Attended 3 of 5)
- Associate Medical Director for Quality Improvement (Attended 4 of 5)
- Trust Secretary (Attended 4 of 5)

The primary objective of the Committee is to provide assurance to the Board that the key critical clinical systems and processes are effective and robust

3.6. Joint Committee Meetings

During 2012/13 the Non-Clinical Governance Committee and Clinical Governance Committee met on two occasions to seek assurance of key systems and processes which impact on non-clinical and clinical areas. These reviews included:

- Data Quality
- Management of Medical Gases
- Management of Patient Flow
- Health & Safety – Fire
- Clinical Coding

For the second of the two joint meetings, the membership of the Non-Clinical Governance Committee and Clinical Governance Committee was joined by Moira Brennan as Chair of the Audit Committee. This was to ensure there was a Non-Executive Director with a financial background present to ensure challenge around the financial elements of the clinical coding assurance testing.

3.7. Audit Committee

The Committee is chaired by a Non-Executive Director and meets no less than four times a year. Membership of this Committee is made up of three Non-Executive Directors (including the Chair).

- Non-Executive Director – Moira Brennan (Chair) (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Michael Earp (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Brian Stables (Attended 2 of 2)
- Non-Executive Director – Stephen Wheeler (Attended 1 of 1)
- Non-Executive Director – Joanna Hole (Attended 2 of 2)

The attendance record for each member is indicated in brackets after the name of the individual.

At least one of the members of the Audit Committee is required to have recent and relevant financial experience. Moira Brennan provides this experience and also chairs this committee. Further details on the experience and qualifications of the Trust Board can be found on the Trust website at www.ruh.nhs.uk

Additional staff will be invited as required; these could include:

- Chief Executive
- Director of Finance.
- Trust Secretary.
- External Auditor.
- Internal Auditor.
- Local Counter Fraud Specialist.
- Head of Financial Services.

The Committee's key roles and responsibilities are as follows:

Governance

The Committee reviews the establishment and maintenance of an effective system of internal control and probity across the whole of the organisation's activities that supports the achievement of the organisation's objectives.

Internal Audit

The Committee ensures that there is an effective internal audit function established by the Trust that meets mandatory NHS Internal Audit Standards and provides appropriate independent assurance to the Audit Committee, Chief Executive and Board. The Committee reviews the audit function at least annually and agree its plan of work for the forthcoming year.

External Audit

The Committee reviews the work and findings of the External Auditor appointed by the Audit Commission and considers the implications and management response to their work.

Local Counter Fraud Specialist

The Committee ensures that there is an effective counter fraud function established by management that meets NHS Counter Fraud standards and provides independent assurance to the Audit Committee, Chief Executive and Board.

Management

The Committee requests and reviews reports and positive assurances from directors and managers on the overall arrangements for governance, probity and internal control. They may also request specific reports from individual functions within the organisation as they may be appropriate to the overall arrangements.

Risk Management

The Audit Committee is responsible for assuring the Board of Directors that the Risk Management system operating within the Trust is robust and effective. To do this the Committee will test the system through Internal Audit Review, as well as corporate and operational review.

3.8. Remuneration Committee

Membership of the Remuneration Committee includes the Chairman of the Board of Directors and all Non-Executive Directors. The Committee meets at least twice annually and its key roles and responsibilities are to determine the appropriate employment and remuneration and terms of employment for the Chief Executive and Executive Directors.

- Chairman – (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Moira Brennan (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Joanna Hole (Attended 4 of 4)

- Non-Executive Director – Roger Newton (Attended 1 of 2)
- Non-Executive Director – Michael Earp (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Stephen Wheeler (Attended 2 of 2)
- Non-Executive Director – Nigel Sullivan (Attended 1 of 2)
- Non-Executive Director – Nick Hood (Attended 2 of 2)

The attendance record for each member is indicated in brackets after the name of the individual.

3.9. Charities Committee

The Royal United Hospital Charitable Fund was formed under a Deed dated 10 September 1996 as amended by a Supplemental Deed dated 9 December 2009. It is registered with the Charity Commission in England and Wales (Registered number 1058323) (“the Charity”).

The Trust is the Corporate Trustee of the Charity, acting through its voting Trust Board members who are collectively referred to as the Trustee’s Representatives (“Trustees”) and their duties are those of trustees.

The main beneficiary of the Charity is the Trust’s patients and staff through the provision of grants to the Trust for purchasing and developing facilities; training and development of staff; and research and development.

The Charity’s structure is diverse and reflects the breadth of variety of activities within the Trust. There are in excess of 70 separate funds.

The Charitable Fund has a significant and proactive fundraising operation in the form of The Forever Friends Appeal that is primarily, but not totally, focussed on principal Campaigns agreed with the Charities Committee and the Corporate Trustee.

Whilst the Charities Committee is a formal subcommittee of the Board of Directors, arrangements have been implemented to operate this group and the Full Corporate Trustee of the charity at arm’s length from the Trust. These arrangements include: a formal service level agreement between the Trust and the charity outlining the support and associated costs to the charity, reporting to the Full Corporate Trustee of the Charity Annual Report and Accounts and a separate charity strategy.

The Charities Committee is chaired by a Non-Executive Director. Membership of the committee includes a further two Non-Executive Directors, the Director of Nursing and Director of Finance. The committee meets quarterly.

- Non-Executive Director – Roger Newton (Attended 0 of 1)
- Non-Executive Director – Michael Earp (Attended 1 of 1)
- Non-Executive Director – Stephen Wheeler (Attended 1 of 1)
- Non-Executive Director – Moira Brennan (Attended 4 of 4)
- Non-Executive Director – Brian Stables (Attended 2 of 2)
- Director of Nursing (Francesca Thompson) (Attended 2 of 3)
- Director of Nursing (Mary Lewis) (Attended 1 of 1)
- Director of Finance (Attended 3 of 4)

The attendance record for each member is indicated in brackets after the name of the individual.

3.10. Annual Committee Effectiveness Reviews

Each Committee is required to consider how well it has performed during the year against the objectives as set out in their Terms of Reference and against the delivery of their work plans for the year. This information is collated and then presented to the Trust Board alongside any revisions to the Terms of Reference and the following year’s work plan. Any deviation from plan is highlighted to allow the Trust Board to consider whether any further changes to membership or committee constitution are required. The Trust Board also considers the whole of its committee structure to ensure that it is delivering its requirements.

4. Key Governance Systems

The Trust has identified the following as key systems which support the delivery of the Trust's objectives:

- Risk Management;
- Performance Management;
- Business Planning and Budget Setting;

Supporting these systems are sub-systems which include, but are not limited to:

- Workforce planning;
- Maintaining clinical and non-clinical competencies;
- Health & Safety;
- Equality & Diversity;

The Trust Board's assurance committees test these systems to ensure they are robust and effective. Where additional assurance is required the Trust's internal auditors are tasked with undertaking a more comprehensive review and actions are taken to address any shortfall against the expected standards.

5. Governance Changes during the Year

There have been no significant changes implemented during the year. There has been work to strengthen current arrangements and embed changes implemented in previous years. This includes reviewing the Trust's governance structure and ensuring that all formal groups have clear Terms of Reference, work plans and reporting arrangements. The revised assurance arrangements, described above, have been embedded within the Trust and the impacts of these changes are now being seen. This has manifested in stronger systems and processes supporting the delivery of the Trust's objectives and Strategy.

The governance systems will be continually monitored to ensure that the Trust continues to learn from best practice and updates systems so they meet revised guidance throughout the year.

6. Trust Board Review of Effectiveness

The Trust Board is required to consider whether it has been effective in leading the organisation on an annual basis. The Board has undertaken an evaluation for 2012/13 and has determined that the Trust Board is operating at a satisfactorily level. This is supported by the following evidence:

- The Trust has been rated as Performing¹ for 2012/13 for the Acute Trust Performance Framework. This confirms that the Trust has met all of the National Priorities as set out in the NHS Operating Framework.
- The Trust would also be classified as Amber-Green against the Monitor Governance Rating if it was an NHS Foundation Trust;
- The Trust has achieved its planned financial surplus for 2012/13;
- The Trust has developed a 5 year Strategic Plan to support its application to become an NHS Foundation Trust and has supported this by revising its governance arrangements;
- The Trust has developed and implemented a series of supporting Strategies which clearly articulate how major changes within the Trust will be achieved. These include the Estates

¹ The rating of Performing is calculated based on the average score against a series of key performance indicators including those relating to A&E, Referral to Treatment, Cancer and infections. The Trust must achieve an average rating of more than 2.4 to be rated as Performing. More information on the Trust's current performance and weightings for each of the indicators can be found in the Trust's monthly performance report presented to the Trust Board meeting in Public. Copies of papers can be found at http://www.ruh.nhs.uk/about/trustboard/index.asp?menu_id=7

Strategy, Continuous Improvement Strategy, Quality Improvement Strategy and the Membership Strategy;

- The Trust has built a membership base which is both representative and inclusive of the local population. The Trust has recruited over 6,000 public members and the majority of eligible members of staff are members;
- The Trust Board has a full complement of Executive and Non-Executive Directors;
- The Trust Board has considered whether it is compliant, where appropriate, with Monitor's Code of Governance. The Trust Board has confirmed that it is compliant.

The Trust Board's assessment has been supported by the following external assessments:

- The Care Quality Commission undertook an unannounced, planned inspection of the Trust in November 2012 and found that the Trust was compliant against all of the Outcomes inspected;
- The Care Quality Commissioning (CQC) undertook an unannounced inspection of the Trust in February 2013 and found that the Trust was not compliant with 4 outcomes. These outcomes were:
 - Outcome 1 – Respecting and involving people who use services
 - Outcome 4 – Care and welfare of people who use services
 - Outcome 6 – Co-operating with other providers
 - Outcome 21 – Records
- The Trust developed an action plan to address these concerns and will work with the CQC to ensure they were updated with progress;
- The Trust Board has monitored the completion of actions following the assessment against the Board Governance Assurance Framework. All actions have now been completed;
- The Trust is subject to regular inspection by a number of other regulators including the Health & Safety Executive, the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency and the Human Tissue Authority. Whilst a number of improvement actions have been identified through the process of inspection no regulatory actions have been imposed on the Trust.
- The Board has undertaken a self-assessment against the Quality Governance Framework, which has been reviewed by the Strategic Health Authority and by PwC the Trust's internal auditors. This has confirmed that the Trust Board is aware of its current Quality Governance arrangements and the limitations of those arrangements. An action plan has been developed to address the gaps identified;

Following a review of its skills and knowledge mix, the Trust Board identified that there was a need for a Commercial Director, with extensive commercial and communication skills and experience to support the Trust Board in delivering its Strategy. The Trust appointed Jocelyn Foster as the Trust's Commercial Director in August 2012.

7. Trust Board Member Appraisals

Each member of the Trust Board is appraised against their performance during the year, which culminates with an annual appraisal against their objectives for the year. The appraisers for each group of Trust Board members is as follows:

Appraisee	Appraiser
Chairman	Chairman (or Vice Chairman), NHS South of England Senior Independent Director
Non-Executive Directors	Chairman
Chief Executive	Chairman
Executive Directors (as line reports)	Chief Executive
Executive Directors (as Trust Board members)	Chairman

The purpose of the appraisal is to monitor progress against the set objectives and identify any development needs or support required to ensure that by year end the objectives are delivered. For the Chief Executive and Executive Directors, delivery against the objectives is taken into

consideration when determining if any bonus is to be awarded and the level of the stated bonus. The amount of any bonus awarded to the Chief Executive and Executive Directors is reported in the Annual Report for the following year.

During 2012/13 the Senior Independent Director, Michael Earp, also undertook an appraisal of the Chairman. In future years, and once authorised as an NHS Foundation Trust, the governors of the Trust will be involved in the Chairman's appraisal.

8. The Purpose of the System of Internal Control

The system of internal control is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level rather than to eliminate all risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives; it can therefore only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance of effectiveness. The system of internal control is based on an on-going process designed to:

- Identify and prioritise the risks to the achievement of the organisation's policies, aims and objectives; and
- Evaluate the likelihood of those risks being realised and the impact should they be realised; and to manage them efficiently, effectively and economically.

The system of internal control has been in place at the Trust for the year ended 31 March 2013 and up to the date of approval of the annual report and accounts.

9. Capacity to handle risk

I have overall responsibility for all risks. A nominated lead Director, the Director of Nursing, has been designated as the Director responsible for clinical governance and risk management. I am responsible for corporate governance issues.

The Trust Board is ultimately responsible for managing and directing the Trust's business. However, there are three Assurance sub-committees which provide the Board with assurance. These are the Clinical Governance Committee, Non-Clinical Governance Committee, and the Audit Committee.

The Trust Board has approved the risk management processes and defined the objectives for managing risk. The Trust has a Trust-wide Risk Register. All new significant risks are reviewed by the Management Board and by the Trust Board. The Management Board then takes on oversight of the significant risks until they have been managed to a reduced level of risk. All risks are reviewed by the Trust Board on an annual basis.

The Trust has introduced a six monthly review of the strategic and environmental risks which may affect this Trust. Included within these reviews are the risks related to the changes in the NHS which will be introduced following secondary legislation supporting the Health and Social Care Act 2012. Particular focus for the Trust Board is the changing commissioner environment with the implementation of Clinical Commissioning Groups, and how best the Trust can work positively with these new organisations.

Assurance Committees have been established as sub-committees of the Trust Board, with membership from Executive and Non-Executive Directors, clinical representatives from the Divisions and other senior clinical and managerial representatives. The Strategic Framework for Risk Management includes a reporting structure to the Trust Board.

Each clinical specialty has a forum for discussing risk management and clinical governance issues. Each clinical specialty has a nominated lead for risk management, clinical effectiveness, research & development, education and training, and patient and public involvement.

Guidance on risk management is included in the Strategic Framework for Risk Management.

The Clinical Governance Performance Framework includes standards on risk management and the pillars of clinical governance. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) have been developed for clinical

governance and these are monitored through the Trust's performance measures and included in a corporate scorecard on a monthly basis. The evidence used to monitor against the KPIs has been used in a number of areas to provide evidence for the on-going compliance with the Care Quality Commission's Essential standards of quality and safety.

The Trust seeks to ensure that lessons learned from incident, complaint and other investigations are used to update and improve practice. These issues are regularly communicated to the Operational Governance Committee where Trust wide representatives have the opportunity to discuss themes which may emerge from these investigations and make recommendations for, and implement, policy or procedural change. The Operational Governance Committee reports to the Management Board and escalates issues which require higher level scrutiny.

Incidents are dealt with as per the process identified in the Incident Reporting and Management Policy and Procedure; including the Management of Serious Untoward Incidents.

Lessons learned from complaint investigations are communicated throughout the Trust via the Improvement Forum. This group has representatives from across the Trust and reviews lessons learnt from complaint, incident and other investigations, with a view to identifying and spreading good practice.

10. The Risk and Control Framework

10.1 Context

The Strategic Framework for Risk Management identifies the key risk areas for the Trust as clinical risk, non-clinical risk, financial risk, human resource risk and information risk.

The Strategic Framework for Risk Management includes a clear risk management process. If a risk cannot be resolved at a local level the risk can be referred through the operational management structure to the Management Board or ultimately to the Trust Board. The risk is also added to the risk register with a plan detailing ways to minimise the risk. Each risk is assessed for its severity and likelihood of occurrence, and is allocated a risk 'traffic light'. Risks are reviewed to ensure that any inter-dependencies are understood along with the cumulative effect of risks. The level of exposure to risks is also assessed, and an acceptable level of exposure is assigned to each risk. In assessing the Trust's response, due regard is paid to the financial, service delivery and reputational consequences of risks. The Head of Risk and Assurance and the Trust Board Secretary act as gate keepers to the Risk Register to ensure consistency of scoring, as well as the accuracy and currency of the register.

Risks outside the remit of the Trust's local governance groups are entered onto the Risk Register and are reviewed by appropriate operational management groups, which includes the Management Board and Divisional Boards. The Trust Board reviews each new significant risk and either explores the solutions or accepts the risk. The highest rated risks are reviewed quarterly by the Trust Board. Training in risk management is included as part of the induction programme for new members of staff and is included in the development planner for the Trust Board.

The public and stakeholders are involved in managing risk through representation from the LINKs and the local council led Overview and Scrutiny Committees. In addition, the Trust holds stakeholder events to discuss the issues that should be fed into the Trust strategy. A patient experience strategy has been approved and its progress monitored during 2012/13 by both the Trust Board and the Patient Experience Group (PEG).

10.2. Assurance Framework

The Assurance Framework is a process by which the Trust gains assurance that it has a well-balanced set of objectives for the year and that there are controls and assurances in place to manage the key risks associated with achieving the objectives.

The Assurance Framework was developed using the Trust's Integrated Business Plan and the corporate objectives for 2012/13. The strategic objectives were assessed, and risks in achieving the

objectives identified including any gaps in assurance or control. The Assurance Framework was reviewed by the Trust Board, its Assurance Committees and the Executive Director leads for each risk regularly throughout the year.

Internal Audit reviewed the Trust's risk management arrangements twice during the year. The first review focused on the embeddedness of risk management within the clinical divisions of the Trust, with the follow up review considering the completion of actions and a higher level consideration of the effectiveness of the review. The results of this review were that the auditors were satisfied that all recommendations had been implemented or action was on-going to implement them. The auditors were also satisfied that there have been no significant changes in the approach to risk management since they last reviewed this area late in the 2011/12 audit programme and on which they provided a moderate assurance rating.

The term Moderate Assurance reflects that the auditors identified some weaknesses in the design and/or operation of controls; however the likely impact of these weaknesses on the achievement of the key system, function or process objectives was not expected to be significant. Furthermore, these weaknesses are unlikely to impact upon the achievement of organisational objectives.

Control measures are in place to ensure that all the organisation's obligations under the Equalities Act 2010 are complied with, however an internal audit report into the Equality Delivery System, during 2012/13, has identified a number of additional controls which are required to further strength the system. An action plan has been developed and will be implemented through 2013/14. An Equality Analysis is undertaken and completed for all policies as they are developed or updated.

The Trust has undertaken a climate change risk assessment and developed an Adaptation Plan, to support its emergency preparedness and civil contingency requirements, as based on the UK Climate Projections 2009, to ensure that the Trust's obligations under the Climate Change Act are met.

The Trust has in place a Major Incident Plan that is fully compliant with the requirements of the NHS Emergency Planning Guidance 2005 and all associated guidance. The Trust has also developed a Business Continuity Plan which is being refreshed during 2013/14 in light of guidance issued in relation to the new arrangements for local health Emergency Planning Resilience and Response (EPRR). These new arrangements will start from 1 April 2013 as part of the changes associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2012.

10.3 Other Risks to Note

The Trust has identified the following as risks which are being highlighted due to their possible impact on the delivery of the Trust's business plan. These are not as significant as the risks which are highlight in Section 11 below.

Quality of Medical Records

The quality of the Trust's medical records has been identified as a risk to the Trust for two primary reasons. Firstly there is a risk that the inaccurate or incomplete medical records may impact on the Trust being able to deliver high quality care to all patients. Secondly the medical records are used to capture activity which is translated into income to the Trust. Without accurate documentation of the activity the Trust may lose income which would impact on the Trust's financial plans.

To address this, the Trust has a Medical Records User Group which works with all staff to ensure that the medical records are of a high quality and meet the minimum standards expected by the Trust. In addition the Data Quality Group of the Trust is working to ensure that activity is accurately recorded and translated into income. The commissioners of the services, from whom the income is received, engage with the Trust's external auditors to undertake an independent audit of how the Trust captures activity and where improvements can be made. Actions arising from these audits are then monitored through the three Assurance Committees.

11. Significant Issues


The Trust has identified one significant issue to report as part of the statement. This is:

Sustaining Operational Performance

During 2012/13 the Trust experienced a significant increase in A&E attendances and subsequent admissions. This increase combined with delays to discharge caused the Trust to fail the 4 Hour A&E national target for quarters 3 and 4 2012/13. The Trust has developed a robust 4 Hour Recovery Plan, sought the advice of the National Intensive Support Team and is working closely with the rest of the health and social care community to address the issues.

12. Quality Governance

Quality Governance is a key element of the overall governance arrangements of the Trust. Quality is woven into all groups but the key groups involved in delivering the quality agenda are:



Each group as presented above plays a key role in the quality governance of the Trust. Their roles are as follows:

- The Board of Directors approved the Quality Improvement Strategy in November 2010 and has oversight of the delivery of quality through the performance management system and risk management systems.
- The Management Board as the key operational delivery group in the Trust oversees operational performance against quality indicators and receives regular information on quality and patient safety work including the Trust's progress towards achieving the aims of the five year NHS South West Quality and Patient Safety Improvement Programme.
- The Quality Board, which is accountable to the Management Board, has responsibility to formulate the quality improvement strategic direction. This has been achieved through the development of the quality improvement strategy approved by the Board of Directors. The Quality Board oversees the implementation of the strategy. The Quality Board ensures that the Board of Directors, via the Management Board, is aware of risks to the quality of care being delivered and plans to mitigate these risks, and poorly performing services and the actions being taken to improve them.
- The Operational Governance Committee is the group which delivers quality improvement at an operational level. The Operational Governance Committee works closely with the Quality Board and the Quality Board's sub groups – the Patient Safety Steering Group, the Patient

Experience Group and the Clinical Outcomes Group – as well as the Divisional Clinical Governance Groups.

During the year patient safety visits have been undertaken regularly by Executive and Non-Executive Directors. This provides additional assurance to the Board of Directors on patient safety issues'

From April 2010 health and adult social care providers had to be registered with the CQC and this required Trusts to comply with the "Essential standards of quality and safety", as set out in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2009 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These standards allow Trusts to measure the quality of services they provide and ensure that Trusts are accountable for meeting the regulations. Areas identified from the CQC Quality and Risk Profile and internal reviews as requiring improvement will inform the Quality Work Plan.

The Trust has been registered with the CQC without conditions since March 2010.

The CQC undertook an unannounced planned visit to the Trust in November 2012. The CQC inspected compliance against five of the Essential standards and confirmed compliance against all.

The CQC undertook a further unannounced inspection of the Trust in February 2013. The CQC inspected compliance against four of the Essential standards and identified one minor concern and three moderate concerns. The Trust developed an action plan to deliver compliance in the four standards, and this is due to be delivered during 2013/14.

The Trust recognises that the Health Act 2006 introduced a statutory duty on NHS organisations to observe the provisions of the Code of Practice on Healthcare Associated Infections. The Trust Board is aware of its responsibilities in assuring that it has suitable systems and arrangements in place to ensure that the Code is being observed.

The Trust has adopted the CQC's internet widget which publishes the Trust's current state of compliance with the CQC Essential standards of quality and safety on the Trust's website. This widget is on the front page of the Trust's internet site and is available to all users.

13. Board to Ward

The Trust has further developed its key lines of communication between both the Trust Board and Ward level. The main features of this communication are outlined below:

Matron Presentations

The Matrons from the two clinical divisions are each invited to present to the Board twice each year. The topics raised are selected by the Matrons and are focused around new initiatives, developments and also quality improvements. This is also an opportunity for the Matrons to interact with the Board to share ideas, concerns and other issues.

Patient Stories

The Trust Board has introduced a patient story at the beginning of each Trust Board meeting aligned to the Quality & Patient Safety Report. The story takes the form of either a recorded interview with a patient, or is a statement read out by a member of staff on behalf of the patient. These stories ensure that positive and negative messages about the care being delivered within the Trust is visible to the Trust Board, in the words of a patient.

Integrated Balanced Scorecards

The Trust Board has adopted the use of an Integrated Balanced Scorecard for monitoring performance. The revised scorecard presents quality, operational and financial performance, so that an informed view can be taken across the whole without focusing solely on one area. This approach is being rolled out throughout the Trust to Divisional, Specialty and Ward levels. This consistency in approach will ensure that the Board has oversight of information from Ward to Board.

14. Information Governance

Information Governance within the Trust is managed and controlled through the implementation of the Trust Information Governance strategy which is owned by the Trust Board. The strategy is delivered through an action plan for Information Risk Management and through a commitment to initiate work as early as possible on completing the NHS Information Governance Toolkit and national legislation, policies and directives, thus gaining maximum benefit from introduced improvements.

In 2012/13 the Trust achieved a compliance score of 89% against the Information Governance Toolkit, Version 10. The Trust achieved at least the minimum required level 2 in 44 out of the 45 requirements. The one exception was, the requirement for all staff to complete annual Information Governance training. Whilst the majority of staff have undertaken training in this current year there are still some whose training has had to be rolled into April 2013. If any one of the 45 IG toolkit requirements does not reach level 2, this has the impact of making the overall assessment of the Trust's Information Governance toolkit return be graded as unsatisfactory.

A rolling programme of Information Risk Management audits has been continued in the current year with action plans being produced to further ensure risks are reduced and legal compliance with the Data Protection Act maintained.

During the year there has been effective reporting of Information Governance incidents and near misses and follow up on all incidents has ensured corrective actions where necessary. There have been no serious untoward incidents measured at Level 3 reported to the Trust.

15. Review of Effectiveness

As Accountable Officer, I have responsibility for reviewing the effectiveness of the system of internal control. My review is informed in a number of ways. The Head of Internal Audit provides me with an opinion on the overall arrangements for gaining assurance through the Assurance Framework and on the controls reviewed as part of the Internal Audit work. Executive Directors within the organisation who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of the system of internal control provide me with assurance. The Assurance Framework itself provides me with evidence that the effectiveness of controls that manage the risks to the organisation achieving its principal objectives have been reviewed.

My review is also informed by:

- Care Quality Commission registration;
- Internal Audit reports;
- External Audit reports;
- Auditors' Value for Money Assessment;
- CQC planned and responsive inspections;
- NHSLA assessments;
- Clinical audits;
- Patient and staff surveys;
- Benchmarking information.

I have been advised on the implications of the results of my review of the effectiveness of the system of internal control by the Trust Board, Audit Committee, Clinical Governance Committee, Non-Clinical Governance Committee and the Management Board. When issues are identified, plans are put in place to ensure that any learning is embedded in the organisation. This ensures that the system is subject to continuous improvement.

The Trust has an on-going process to assess compliance with the CQC's Essential standards of quality and safety, which includes regular review of the CQC's Quality and Risk Profile and on-going monitoring of the evidence to demonstrate compliance with the standards. No issues have been identified from this process which would affect the Trust's registration. Improvements identified through this process have been incorporated into action plans which are subject to rigorous review. There are no significant control issues to report.

The key financial risks facing the Trust in 2013/14 are the delivery of the Trusts savings programme (QIPP) within the context of growing non elective activity levels and ensuring the Trust maintains delivery of key operational performance targets. The Trust needs to achieve £11m savings in 2013/14, it achieved c76% of its savings plan in 2012/13, therefore there is a material risk of non-delivery in 2013/14. Whilst the Trust continues to work with commissioners and the wider health economy there continues to be an identified risk of treating patients within affordable capacity levels.

The achievement of QIPP is integral to the delivery of the financial plan for 2013/14. To ensure the Trust sustains and embeds the delivery of its efficiency and transformation programme, and mitigates the risk of non- delivery in year, the Trust is applying further focus on the governance and accountability of QIPP delivery. Schemes are assessed early for risks to delivery, assessed for impact on patient safety and quality and all plans have milestones to identify potential barriers to delivery. The Trust is implementing Service Line Management which continues to ensure engagement in ensuring services are delivered in the most efficient way.

The Trust Board has a vital role in ensuring that the Trust has an effective system of internal control. 2012/13 has seen further improvements in the system of internal control, building on the work of previous years. The Trust Board and its sub-committees have functioned effectively throughout the year. This effectiveness has been tested and supported by a number of external organisations as part of the NHS Foundation Trust application process. These organisations include NHS South of England, PwC, KPMG and Deloitte, the latter as the Trust's Critical Friend.

16. Foundation Trust status

Monitor's Assessment Executive met on 21 March 2013, and decided to defer its decision on the Trust's application for NHS foundation trust status for a period of up to 12 months.

The Executive cited two main reasons for this decision:

- The Care Quality Commission (CQC) had conducted a responsive visit in February 2013 and identified some areas of concern potentially impacting on quality and safety which resulted in four compliance actions. These would require re-inspection before the CQC could provide Monitor with full assurance on its quality performance threshold.
- Concerns around performance against A&E targets at the Trust and high non-elective demand.

Prior to reactivation of the application Monitor expects the Trust to:

- Address the outcomes assessed as non-compliant during the CQC responsive review, following which the CQC will re-inspect.
- Work with the local health economy to address current A&E performance issues ensuring that demand management schemes are progressed and capacity at the trust has been reviewed to ensure current activity pressures can be managed safely.

During the period of deferment, the Trust will also continue to assure itself against all other aspects of Monitor's assessment framework, including on-going focus to ensure newer processes around both CIP and identifying, reporting and escalating issues from ward to Board are fully embedded.

My review confirms that the Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust has a generally sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of its policies, aims and objectives.

Accountable Officer: James Scott, Chief Executive

Organisation: Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust (RD1)